Entangled Temporalities and the Age of the Necrocene

Françoise Vergès

In the Global South, entangled temporalities (pastness, presents-plural and futures-already lived in past and present) question a temporality tied to the belief that things will necessarily get better. They must get better! The future of humanity is at stake! But what is this «better» time made of? What are its colours, its taste, its contours? In contrast, what are the dreams of entangled temporalities made of? Françoise Vergès will try to answer these questions looking at wasted bodies and lands, cleaning, caring and curing.

Remembering the Future (Just) Past: Utopia, Nostalgia, and Redress in Early Postapartheid Fictions, Reconsidered

Andrew van der Vlies

“Modern political consciousness is [...] essentially schizophrenic”, Loralea Michaelis (1999) observed in an essay published twenty years ago but still bracingly compelling; “we are either political and beyond disappointment,” she writes, “or disappointed and beyond politics.” In 1999, the year of her essay’s publication, South Africa transitioned from the one-term presidency of Nelson Mandela to an uncertain future that would end up being more socio-politically unstable than hoped for during the first five years of the new democracy. At this very moment, several of South Africa’s most engaging writers published books that treated, in fascinating ways, what David Scott (2014) calls “the irreversibly lapsed time of our former anticipations of political futurity.”

I want to revisit a handful of these texts—J. M. Coetzee’s Disgrace and Breyten Breytenbach’s Dog Heart (both 1999), Zoë Wicomb’s David’s Story and Zakes Mda’s The Heart of Redness (both 2000)—to ask what theoretical and methodological insights into our ongoing, complexly entangled understandings of agency, history, and temporality, they offer, viewed from the vantage of their first publication in that curious cusp-time between the collapse of the Soviet Union and 9/11, and the rise of the discourse of the ‘War on Terror’. “The Not-Yet-Conscious”, writes Ernst Bloch (1959 [1986]), “is admittedly just as much a preconscious as is the unconscious of repressedness and forgottenness”; “by no means subordinated to the manifest consciousness of today,” it is rather “the preconscious of what is to come, the psychological birthplace of the New.” Of what were these texts “not-yet-conscious”, and of what do their thematic and formal treatments of memory, struggle, and utopia continue to speak?

Return to History as an Aesthetic Invention: How East European Authors Seek their Ways Out of the State of Collective Emergency

Ilya Kukulin

1992 saw the publication of Francis Fukuyama’s The End of History and the Last Man, which claimed that world history, conceived of as a series of conflicts, should end after the fall of communism. In his reflection, Fukuyama, according to his own explanation, was drawing on the concepts of Georg Hegel and Alexandre Kojève; however, the image of ‘the last man’ had travelled to his work from Friedrich Nietzsche’s Thus Spoke Zarathustra, and Nietzsche, with his critique of historicism, was one of Hegel’s most radical opponents.
Fukuyama’s book caused a major discussion; many critics would disagree with its argument, pointing out that Islamic fundamentalism or the crisis in Yugoslavia refute the idea that history has ‘ended.’ Today, Fukuyama’s book is perceived rather as a Cold War monument. Post-9/11, several authors stated that this event is a direct testimony to the errors of Fukuyama’s concept, in particular, his belief in progress. In the following years, at least two books with ‘the return of history’ in their title were published – one by Robert Kagan (2009), another by Jennifer Welsh (2016).

However, for some Eastern European countries (at least, for Russia and Belarus) but also to an extent for China, present times could be called ‘the end of history’ since the governments of these countries are trying to keep the status quo, blocking any changes and increasing the level of state repression. In this context, one of the strongest types of utopia is, however strange that might sound, the possibility of individual life in history. Returning to history, for Russians and Belarusians, is not a nightmare but a hope. This talk will consider how utopia is ‘returning to history’ and how the drive to historicize what is happening are reflected in the poetry from these two countries.

---
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